

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,801		07/17/2003		Josef Appel	028811-29	8996
	22204	22204 7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER		
	NIXON PE				LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC	
	401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHING	ΓON, DC	20004-2128		2636	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/620,801	APPEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julie Lieu	2636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.3	luly 2003.						
	s action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 16</u> is/are objected to. 	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	» 🗆	(070,440)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/03.		ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 7, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Losey et al. (US Patent No. 6,573,678).

Claim 1:

Losey discloses a process for displacing a movable part of motor vehicle between at least two positions by means of a drive (motor drive), comprising the steps of:

- a. checking a monitoring area at least one of outside and inside the motor vehicle for the presence at least one perturbing object without contact between the movable part and the perturbing object, and
- b. turning off or reversing the drive when a perturbing object is detected within the monitoring area,

Application/Control Number: 10/620,801

Art Unit: 2636

c. wherein the movable part is at least one of a vehicle window, an openable motor vehicle roof, an antenna, a convertible top and a part thereof.

Page 3

See brief summary of invention and col. 4, lines 39-49.

Claim 2:

The monitoring area includes at least of the following component are

- a vehicle side area,

- a rear vehicle area,

- a front vehicle area, and

- a top area of the vehicle.

Claim 3:

The checking step is performed by means of at least one of at least distance sensor (limit switches), at least motion sensor and at least one motion direction sensor.

Claim 7:

It would also have been obvious to one skilled in the art, in the checking step in the modified Losey system, to use at least one of a sensor and a camera located in at least one an outside mirror, a bumper, taillights, headlights, turn signals, mudguards, a shelf, a roof strip, a tailgate and a door of the vehicle.

Claim 11:

Losey discloses a device for displacing a movable motor vehicle part between at least two positions, comprising:

a. a drive which displaces the movable vehicle part, and

b. a control means for:

checking a monitoring area at least one of outside and inside the motor i. vehicle for the presence at least one perturbing object without contact between the movable part and the perturbing object, and

one of turning off and reversing the drive when a perturbing object is ii. detected within the monitoring area; wherein the movable part is at least one of a vehicle window, an openable motor vehicle, roof, an antenna, a convertible top and a part thereof.

See brief summary of invention and col. 4, lines 39-49.

Claim 12:

The checking step is performed by means of at least one of at least distance sensor (limit switches), at least motion sensor and at least one motion direction sensor.

Claim 13:

The system in Losey has a processing unit means to processing data signals of the at least one sensor or camera for detecting the perturbing object.

Claim 14:

It would also have been obvious to one skilled in the art, in the checking step in the modified Losey system, to use at least one of a sensor and a camera located in at least one an outside mirror, a bumper, taillights, headlights, turn signals, mudguards, a shelf, a roof strip, a tailgate and a door of the vehicle.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,801 Page 5

Art Unit: 2636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 4-6, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Losey et al. (US Patent No. 6,573,678).

Claims 4-5:

The reference fails to disclose the checking step is performed by means of at least one of

radar, ultrasound, camera, and laser scanning sensors. However, the use of these sensors for

different purposes is conventional in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in

the art to use one of these types of sensors in the Losey system because they are conventional

obstacle detectors to detect the presence of an obstacle to p

Art Unit: 2636

Claim 6:

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the data recorded by the at least one camera in the modified system of Losey for determining the presence a perturbing object within the monitoring areas.

Claim 8:

The reference fails to disclose the use of a warning signal to be delivered when a perturbing object is detected within the monitoring area. However, the use of a warning to warn of a dangerous situation is conventional in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add a warning either optical or acoustics or a combination of both in the system of Losey because would prevent a person from being hurt by being between the moving parts of the vehicle.

Claim 15:

The reference fails to disclose the use of a warning signal to be delivered when a perturbing object is detected within the monitoring area. However, the use of a warning to warn of a dangerous situation is conventional in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add a warning either optical or acoustics or a combination of both in the system of Losey because would prevent a person from being hurt by being between the moving parts of the vehicle.

Art Unit: 2636

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9, 10, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2636

Dec. 08, 04